arXiv:1509.05444v1 [math.DS] 17 Sep 2015

DYNAMICAL ESTIMATES ON A CLASS OF QUADRATIC POLYNOMIAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\mathbb{C}^3$

OZCAN YAZICI

Abstract. Quadratic automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^3$ are classified up to affine conjugacy into seven classes by Fornæss and Wu. Five of them contain irregular maps with interesting dynamics. In this paper, we focus on the maps in the fifth class and make some dynamical estimates for these maps.

1. Introduction

A polynomial automorphism f of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and its inverse f^{-1} define meromorphic maps of $\mathbb{P}^n$ which are well-defined away from the indeterminacy set I^+ (resp. I^-). An automorphism f of $\mathbb{C}^n$ is called *regular* if the indeterminacy sets I^+ and I^- of f and f^{-1} are disjoint. This assumption on the indeterminacy sets gives a relation between the degree of f and the degree of f^{-1} which allows the construction of an invariant measure. An extensive study of regular maps can be found in [S].

The more general class of *weakly regular* automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$ was studied by Guedj and Sibony in [GS]. Roughly speaking, these are the maps for which I^+ and $X^+ = f(\{t = 0\} \setminus I^+)$ are disjoint where $\{t = 0\}$ is the hyperplane at infinity in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

In [FW], the quadratic polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^3$ are classified up to affine conjugacy into 7 classes, 5 of which are dynamically interesting as they are non-linear. In [CF], Coman and Fornæss studied these classes by estimating the rates of escape of orbits to infinity and by describing the subsets of $\mathbb{C}^3$ where such orbits occur. Using these estimates, they construct invariant measures for the maps in some of the classes. The maps in 2 of these classes are the most complicated. They have the form

$$H_4(x, y, z) = (P(x, y) + az, Q(y) + x, y),$$

$$H_5(x, y, z) = (P(x, y) + az, Q(x) + by, x),$$

where $\max\{deg(P), deg(Q)\} = 2$ and $a \neq 0 \neq b$. The complication is due to their strange behavior at infinity. They both map $\{t = 0\} \setminus I^+$ onto I^-. For H_5, the extended indeterminacy set I^+_∞ consists of union of two lines, $\{t = x = 0\} \cup \{t = y = 0\}$ and there are two points, $\{[1 : 0 : 0 : 0], [0 : 1 :$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32H50; Secondary: 32U40.

The author was partially supported by the Qatar National Research Fund, NPRP project 7-511-1-098.

$0 : 0]\} = I^+_\infty \cap I^-$, where the orbits that tend to infinity with a slow rate may accumulate.

The dynamics of H_4 is explained in detail by Coman in [C]. We focus on the class H_5 and work on the maps

$$H : (x, y, z) \to (xy + az, x^2 + by, x),\ ab \neq 0,$$

for simplicity. The iterates of H will be denoted by $H^n(w) = w_n = (x_n, y_n, z_n)$ where $w = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. The induced map $H : \mathbb{P}^3 \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is defined by

$$H[x : y : z : t] = [xy + azt : x^2 + byt : xt : t^2].$$

Then H is not (weakly) regular since $I^+ = \{t = x = 0\}$, $X^+ = I^- = \{t = z = 0\}$ and $X^+ \cap I^+ = [0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the invariant sets K^- and U^- for H^{-1}. [CF, Lemma 6.1] implies that the orbits $H^{-n}(w)$ of points in U^- escape to $[0 : 0 : 1 : 0]$ with a super-exponential rate $(const)^{3^n}$. It was known by [CF, Lemma 6.2] that the orbits $H^{-n}(w)$ of points in K^- have at most exponential growth. In Proposition 2.1, we show that if the coefficient b of H has modulus $|b| > 1$, then the orbits $H^{-n}(w)$ are bounded for all $w \in K^-$.

In Section 3, the H-invariant sets U^+ and $K^+ = \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus U^+$ are defined. It was known by [CF, Proposition 6.4] that on U^+, the orbits $H^n(w)$ escape to infinity at the super-exponential rate $(const)^{2^n}$, while on K^+ the orbits escape to infinity at a much slower rate. We give shorter proof of these facts for our simplified map in Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. Points of K^+ accumulates on two lines at infinity, namely $I^+ = \{t = x = 0\}$ and $\{t = y = 0\}$. This implies that unbounded H-orbits of points in K^+ may accumulate at two different points $[1 : 0 : 0 : 0] \cup [0 : 1 : 0 : 0]$ (see Theorem 3.5). This fact causes a complicated behavior of the automorphism at infinity.

We construct an H^{-1} invariant current σ of bidimension $(1, 1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ which is supported on $\partial\overline{K^-}$ (see Theorem 4.1). This may allow us to construct a non trivial H^{-1}-invariant measure in $\mathbb{C}^3$ by wedging with the Green's current T^+. We note that it is impossible to construct an invariant measure by using the Green's currents only as in the case of regular maps since $T^+ \wedge T^+ = T^- \wedge T^- = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$ (see Section 4).

Theorem 3.1 (iv) shows that the orbits $H^n(w)$ of points in K^+ may escape to infinity with at most the super-exponential growth rate $(const)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$. However, it is not clear that if there is any orbit of a point in K^+ which has this sharp growth rate. In the case of $a = 0$, H becomes a map of $\mathbb{C}^2$ and there are some lines in $K^+ \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ on which the orbits have the sharp growth rate of $(const)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$ (see Section 5).

Acknowledgments. This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis and he is grateful to his advisor Prof. Dan Coman for his guidance and support.

2. Dynamics of H^{-1}

H^{-1} is given by

$$H^{-1}(x,y,z) = \left(z, \frac{y-z^2}{b}, \frac{1}{a}\left(x - z\frac{y-z^2}{b}\right)\right).$$

We will denote the iterates of H^{-1} by $H^{-n}(w) = (x_n, y_n, z_n)$, where $w = (x,y,z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. Let us define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} V^+ &= \{w \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |z| > \max\{R, |x|, (1+\delta)|y|^{1/2}\}\}, \\ U^- &= \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} H^n(V^+), \\ K^- &= \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus U^-, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ and R is sufficiently big. In [CF, Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.2], it was shown that the H^{-1}-orbits of points in U^- converge locally uniformly on U^- to $[0:0:1:0]$ with super-exponential rate $(const)^{3^n}$, and for $w \in K^-$, $||H^{-n}(w)|| \leq C^n M(w)$ where $C > 1$ and $M(w) > 0$ depends on w continuously. We will show that when $|b| > 1$, the H^{-1}-orbits of points in K^- are actually bounded. Let's assume that $|b| = 1 + \delta$, $\delta > 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *(i) There exists $R_0 > 1$ such that for all $R > R_0$, we have $H^{-1}(V^+) \subset V^+$ and if $w = (x,y,z) \in V^+$ then*

$$C_1|z|^3 < |z_1| < C_2|z|^3$$

where C_1 and C_2 depend on δ.

(ii) $||H^{-n}(w)||$ is bounded when $w \in K^-$.

Proof. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a constant satisfying $\alpha + \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^2} < 1$ and $R_0 = \left(\frac{|b|}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. First we note that on V^+,

$$(1) \qquad |x| < |z|,\ |y| < \frac{|z|^2}{(1+\delta)^2} \text{ and } |z| > R > R_0 = \left(\frac{|b|}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows from (1) that on V^+ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|z_1 - \frac{z^3}{ab}\right| &= \left|\frac{x}{a} - \frac{zy}{ab}\right| < \frac{|z|}{|a|} + \frac{|z|^3}{(1+\delta)^2|ab|} \\ &< \left(\frac{|b|}{|z|^2} + \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^2}\right)\frac{|z|^3}{|ab|} \leq \left(\alpha + \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^2}\right)\frac{|z|^3}{|ab|}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the estimate in part (i).

On V^+, $R < |z|$, $|x_1| = |z|$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\delta)|y_1|^{1/2} &\leq \frac{(1+\delta)}{|b|^{1/2}}|y - z^2|^{1/2} \leq \frac{(1+\delta)}{|b|^{1/2}}\left(\frac{|z|^2}{(1+\delta)^2} + |z|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{(1+\delta)}{|b|^{1/2}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{(1+\delta)^2}\right)^{1/2}|z|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $|z_1| > C_1|z|^3$, these estimates imply that $H^{-1}(V^+) \subset V^+$ when R is big enough.

We will show part (ii) now. By definition, on K^- we have that

$$|z_n| \leq \max\{R, |x_n|, (1+\delta)|y_n|^{\frac{1}{2}}\} =: M_n$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $|x_{n+1}| = |z_n| \leq M_n$.

Let us consider the case when $|z_n| \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\delta)|y_{n+1}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1+\delta)\left(\frac{|y_n|}{|b|} + \frac{1}{|b|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &< (1+\delta)\left(\frac{M_n^2}{(1+\delta)^2|b|} + \frac{1}{|b|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{M_n^2}{|b|} + \frac{(1+\delta)^2}{|b|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq M_n\left(\frac{1}{|b|} + \frac{(1+\delta)^2}{|b|R^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < M_n.\end{aligned}$$

When $|z_n| > 1$

$$(1+\delta)|y_{n+1}|^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1+\delta)\left|\frac{x_n}{z_n} - \frac{az_{n+1}}{z_n}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} < (1+\delta)\left(M_n + |a|M_{n+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We also have that $|x_{n+1}| = |z_n| \leq M_n$. Hence

$$M_{n+1} \leq \max\{M_n, (1+\delta)(M_n + |a|M_{n+1})^{1/2}\}.$$

If the right hand side of the above inequality is equal to M_n then $M_{n+1} \leq M_n$ and we are done. Hence we can assume that $M_{n+1}^2 \leq (1+\delta)^2(M_n + |a|M_{n+1})$. Choosing R big enough we obtain that

$$M_{n+1} < \frac{M_{n+1}^2 - (1+\delta)^2|a|M_{n+1}}{(1+\delta)^2} < M_n.$$

Therefore $||H^n(w)||$ is bounded for $w \in K^-$. □

We now construct the Green's function of H^{-1}. Note that $\deg H^{-n} = 3^n$. We let

$$G_n(w) = \frac{1}{3^n}\log^+||H^{-n}(w)|| \text{ and } \tilde{G}_n(w) = \frac{1}{3^n}\log^+|z_n|.$$

The estimates in [CF, Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.2] imply that G_n and $\tilde{G}_n$ converge locally uniformly to the same Green's function G^-. Hence the Green's function

G^- is pluriharmonic on U^-, $K^- = \{G^- = 0\}$ and $G^- \circ H^{-1} = 3G^-$. We define the Green's current by $\mu^- = dd^c G^-$. Then $H^*\mu^- = \frac{1}{3}\mu^-$ and supp $\mu^- = \partial K^-$.

3. Dynamics of H

We will denote the *nth* iteration of H by $H^n(w) = w_n = (x_n, y_n, z_n)$. For $\epsilon > 0$ and R big enough, we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} V^- &= \left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |xy| > \max\left\{R, 2|az|, |x|^{3/2}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}|y|^{3/2}\right\}\right\}, \\ U^+ &= \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} H^{-n}(V^-), \qquad (2) \\ K^+ &= \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus U^+. \end{aligned}$$

In [CF], they showed that the orbits $H^n(w)$ of points in U^+ escape to infinity with super-exponential growth rate $(const)^{2^n}$. For the sake of completeness, we give a short proof of this fact for our simplified map.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $R_0 > 1/\epsilon^{10}$ such that for all $R > R_0$, we have $H(V^-) \subset V^-$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|xy|}{2} &< |x_1| < \frac{3|xy|}{2} \\ (1 - |b|\epsilon^2)|x|^2 &< |y_1| < (1 + |b|\epsilon^2)|x|^2. \end{aligned} \qquad (3)$$

Hence on U^+, $H^n(w)$ escape to infinity with the super-exponential growth $(const)^{2^n}$.

Proof. For the points in V^-, we have that $|2az| < |xy|$. Hence $|x_1 - xy| = |az| < |xy|/2$ which proves the first inequality above. On V^-, $|xy| > \frac{1}{\epsilon}|y|^{\frac{3}{2}}$ which implies that $|y| < \epsilon^2 |x|^2$. Thus

$$|y_1 - x^2| = |by| \leq |b|\epsilon^2 |x|^2$$

and this implies the second inequality above. We now prove that V^- is invariant under H. On V^-, $|xy| > |x|^{\frac{3}{2}}$ which implies that $|x| < |y|^2$. Since $\epsilon^2 |x|^3 > |xy| > R > 1/\epsilon^{10}$,

$$|x| > \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \text{ and } |y| > \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \qquad (4)$$

on V^-. Using this with the first inequality in (3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1 y_1| > |x|^3 |y| \frac{1 - |b|\epsilon^2}{2} &= 2|a||z_1||x|^2|y| \frac{1 - |b|\epsilon^2}{4|a|} \\ &\geq 2|a||z_1| \frac{1 - |b|\epsilon^2}{\epsilon^{10} 4|a|} > 2|az_1|. \end{aligned}$$

(3) and (4) with the inequality $|y| < \epsilon^2|x|^2$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\max\left\{|x_1|^{\frac{3}{2}}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}|y_1|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\} &\leq \max\left\{\left(\frac{3|xy|}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(1+|b|\epsilon^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}|x|^3\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{\epsilon\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{3/2}|x|^{5/2}|y|, \frac{1}{\epsilon}(1+|b|\epsilon^2)^{3/2}|x|^3\right\} \\ &\leq |x|^3|y|\max\left\{\epsilon^3\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{3/2}, \epsilon\left(1+|b|\epsilon^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\} \leq |x|^3|y|\frac{1-|b|\epsilon^2}{2} < |x_1y_1|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $H(V^-) \subset V^-$. □

We now discuss the dynamics of H on K^+. Let

$$M_n = M_n(w) := \max\left\{R, 2|az_n|, |x_n|^{3/2}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}|y_n|^{3/2}\right\}. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 3.2. *On K^+, if R is sufficiently large, then we have*
(i) $|x_n| \leq \frac{3M_{n-1}}{2}$,
(ii) $M_n \leq \max\{(\frac{3M_{n-1}}{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}|y_n|^{\frac{3}{2}}\}$,
(iii) $M_n \leq C\max\{M_{n-1}^{\frac{3}{2}}, |x_{n-1}|^3\}$ for some constant C,
(iv) $M_n \leq \tilde{M}(w)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$ for some continuous function $\tilde{M}(w)$. Hence $||H^n(w)|| \leq C(w)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$ where $C(w)$ is a continuous function of w.

Proof. (i) Note that on K^+, $|x_ny_n| \leq M_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. It follows from the definition of map H and the set M_n that

$$|x_n| \leq |x_{n-1}y_{n-1}| + |az_{n-1}| \leq M_{n-1} + \frac{M_{n-1}}{2} = \frac{3M_{n-1}}{2}.$$

(ii) The inequality $|z_n| = |x_{n-1}| \leq M_{n-1}^{\frac{2}{3}}$ with the estimate in (i) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}M_n &\leq \max\left\{M_{n-1}, 2|a|M_{n-1}^{\frac{2}{3}}, \left(\frac{3M_{n-1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}|y_n|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\left(\frac{3M_{n-1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}|y_n|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) By definition of y_n

$$\begin{aligned}|y_n|^{\frac{3}{2}} &\leq (|x_{n-1}|^2 + |b||y_{n-1}|)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{3}{2}}\max\left\{|x_{n-1}|^3, |b|^{\frac{3}{2}}|y_{n-1}|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon}|y_n|^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq 2^{\frac{3}{2}}\max\left\{\frac{1}{\epsilon}|x_{n-1}|^3, |b|^{\frac{3}{2}}|M_{n-1}|\right\}.$$

This estimate with (ii) proves (iii).

(iv) Since H is a degree two polynomial map, $M_{n-1} \leq CM_{n-2}^2$ for some constant C . So by (i) and (iii) we obtain that

$$M_n \leq C \max \left\{ M_{n-2}^3, \left(\frac{3M_{n-2}}{2} \right)^3 \right\} = \tilde{C} M_{n-2}^3.$$

Hence if n is even then $M_n \leq \tilde{C} M_{n-2}^3 \leq \ldots \leq (\tilde{C} M_0)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$. If n is odd then $M_n \leq (\tilde{C} M_1)^{3^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \leq ((\tilde{C} M_1)^2)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$. Thus $M_n \leq \tilde{M}(w)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$ where $\tilde{M}(w) = \max\{\tilde{C} M_0, (\tilde{C} M_1)^2\}$.

□

We now define the Green's function G^+ of H by

$$G^+(w) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \log^+ ||H^n(w)|| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \log^+ |x_n| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \log^+ |y_n|.$$

Theorem 3.3. [CF] *The above limits exist and are equal. G^+ is a continuous psh function in $\mathbb{C}^3$ and it is pluriharmonic on U^+. Moreover, $K^+ = \{G^+ = 0\}$ and $G^+ \circ H = 2G^+$.*

The Green's current of H is defined by $\mu^+ = dd^c G^+$. Then $H^*\mu^+ = 2\mu^+$ and supp $\mu^+ = \partial K^+$. Let us consider the induced map H on $\mathbb{P}^3$ and the Fubini-Study form ω on $\mathbb{P}^3$. For a more general class of automorphisms, Sibony ([S, Theorem 1.6.1]) showed that $\frac{1}{2^n}(H^n)^*\omega$ converges to a closed positive current T_+ of bidegree $(1,1)$ which satisfies $H^*T_+ = 2T_+$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$. Moreover, by [S, Theorem 1.8.1], T_+ does not charge the hyperplane at infinity and $T_+|_{\mathbb{C}^3} = \mu^+$ has mass one in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

Unlike the regular automorphisms (see [S] for regular automorphisms), orbits of points in K^+ may escape to infinity. For example, $H(0, y, 0) = (0, b^n y, 0) \to [0:1:0:0]$ if $|b| > 1$. By Lemma 3.2 (iv), any such orbit may escape to infinity with a smaller super-exponential rate $(const)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$. We will show that unbounded orbits of points in K^+ may accumulate only at two points, $P = [0:1:0:0]$ and $Q = [1:0:0:0]$. First we show that points in K^+ accumulate at infinity on the set $I^+_\infty = I^+ \cup \{t = y = 0\}$.

Theorem 3.4. $\overline{K^+} = K^+ \cup I_\infty$

Proof. Since

$$\overline{V^{-c}} = \left\{ [x:y:z:t] \in \mathbb{P}^3 : |xy| \leq \max \left\{ R|t^2|, 2|azt|, |x|^{\frac{3}{2}}|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{\epsilon}|y|^{\frac{3}{2}}|t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \right\}$$

we have that

$$\overline{V^{-c}} = V^{-c} \cup \{x = t = 0\} \cup \{y = t = 0\} = V^{-c} \cup I_\infty.$$

Then

$$\overline{K^+} \subset \overline{V^{-c}} \subset V^{-c} \cup I^+_\infty$$

which implies that $\overline{K^+} \subset K^+ \cup I^+_\infty$.

Let $\tilde{H}$ be a homogeneous representation of the extension of H to $\mathbb{P}^3$ so that $||\tilde{H}(w)|| \leq ||w||^2$ and T_+ be the Green's current of H in $\mathbb{P}^3$. By [S, Theorem 1.6.1], we have on $\mathbb{C}^4$,

$$\frac{1}{2^n}\log||\tilde{H}^n(w)|| \searrow \tilde{G}(w) \text{ and } \pi^*T_+ = dd^c\tilde{G},$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is the canonical map. Note that for any $p \in I^+_\infty$, there exists a $\tilde{p} \in \mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\tilde{H}^2(\tilde{p}) = 0$ and $p = \pi(\tilde{p})$. Since $\tilde{G}(w) \leq \frac{1}{4}\log||\tilde{H}^2(w)||$, by comparison theorem for Lelong numbers (see [D]) we have that

$$\nu(\tilde{G}, \tilde{p}) \geq \nu\left(\frac{1}{4}\log||\tilde{H}^2||, \tilde{p}\right) > 0.$$

Hence $\tilde{p} \in$ supp $\pi^*T_+ \subset \pi^{-1}(\text{supp } T_+)$, that is, $p \in$ supp T_+. [CF, Theorem 6.5] implies that supp $T_+ \subset \partial\overline{K^+}$, hence $I^+_\infty \subset \partial\overline{K^+}$. □

We should note that the above result is true for weakly regular maps by [GS, Theorem 2.2] with I^+_∞ replaced by I^+. So Theorem 3.4 is of interest since the similar result holds for maps which are not weakly regular.

Theorem 3.5. *Unbounded orbits of points in K^+ under H can only cluster at $I^+_\infty \cap I^- = \{[1:0:0:0], [0:1:0:0]\}$.*

Proof. Since K^+ is invariant under H, Theorem 3.4 implies that an unbounded orbit can only cluster on I^+_∞. Let $w \in K^+$ and $w_{n_i} = H^{n_i}(w) \to w_0$. If $w_0 \notin I^-$, then $w_{n_i-1} = H^{n_i-1}(w) = H^{-1}(w_{n_i}) \to H^{-1}(w_0) = X^-$. Since H^{-1} is weakly regular $H^{n_i-1}(w)$ avoids a neighborhood of $I^- = I^-_\infty$. Thus $H^{-(n_i-1)}$ is well-defined. Since H^{-1} is weakly regular X^- is H^{-1} attracting. So $H^{-(n_i-1)}H^{n_i-1}(w) = w \to X^-$. This contradicts the fact that w is a fixed point in $\mathbb{C}^k$. Thus $w_0 \in I^+_\infty \cap I^- = \{[1:0:0:0], [0:1:0:0]\}$. □

4. Invariant Measures

For regular automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$, Sibony ([S, Theorem 2.5.2]) constructed an invariant probability measure $\mu = {T_+}^l \wedge {T_-}^{n-l}$ where dim $I^- = l-1$. It is impossible to construct an invariant measure for H and H^{-1} by using the powers of the Green's currents since $\mu^+ \wedge \mu^+ = \mu^- \wedge \mu^- = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$. Indeed,

$$\mu_\epsilon = dd^c \max\{G^+, \epsilon\} \wedge \mu^+ \to \mu^+ \wedge \mu^+$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. We note that $K^+ = \{G^+ = 0\}$ and supp $\mu_\epsilon \subset \partial K^+$. Let $w \in \partial K^+$ and B be a neighborhood of w in $\mathbb{C}^3$ such that $G^+ < \epsilon$ in B. Hence $\max\{G^+, \epsilon\} = \epsilon$ and $\mu_\epsilon = 0$ on B. Thus $\mu_\epsilon = 0 = \mu^+ \wedge \mu^+$ in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

When f^{-1} is weakly regular and I^- is f-attracting, by [GS, Theorem 3.1], there is an invariant current σ_s of bidimension (s,s) where the dim $X^- = s-1$. Then the wedge product $\mu := \sigma_s \wedge T^s_-$ is an f-invariant measure. It is well-defined since G^- is locally bounded near $\overline{K^+}$.

In our case, H^{-1} is weakly regular. If $|b| > 1$, then I^- is $H-$attracting and the construction of invariant measure as in [GS] works for H. However, when $|b| \leq 1$, I^- is not $H-$attracting and their construction does not work.

Since H^{-1} is weakly regular, $X^- = [0 : 0 : 1 : 0]$ is an attracting point for H^{-1}. Using this fact with the estimates on K^- and some ideas from [GS], we construct an $H^{-1}-$ invariant current of bidimension $(1, 1)$ which is supported on $\partial\overline{K^-}$.

Theorem 4.1. *There is a closed positive current σ of bidimension $(1, 1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that $(H^{-1})^*\sigma = 2\sigma$ and supp $\sigma \subset \partial\overline{K^-}$.*

Proof. Let ω be the standard Kähler form in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and $\omega|_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ be the restriction of ω to $\mathbb{C}^3$. We define

$$R_N = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{(H^{-n})^*\omega^2|_{\mathbb{C}^3}}{2^n}.$$

We still denote by R_N the trivial extension to $\mathbb{P}^3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ||R_N|| &= \int_{\mathbb{P}^3}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{(H^{-n})^*\omega^2}{2^n}\wedge\omega = \int_{\mathbb{C}^3}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{(H^{-n})^*\omega^2}{2^n}\wedge\omega \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^3}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{(H^{n})^*\omega}{2^n}\wedge\omega^2 = \int_{\mathbb{P}^3}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{(H^{n})^*\omega}{2^n}\wedge\omega^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there is a subsequence R_{N_j} which converges to a current σ in the sense of currents. Since $||R_{N_j}|| = 1$, σ has mass 1 in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and it is invariant under the pullback by $H|_{\mathbb{C}^3}^{-1}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (H^{-1})^*R_{N_j} &= \frac{2}{N_j}\sum_{n=1}^{N_j}\frac{(H^{-(n+1)})^*\omega^2}{2^{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{2}{N_j}\left(N_jR_{N_j} - \frac{(H^{-1})^*\omega^2}{2} + \frac{(H^{-(N_j+1)})^*\omega^2}{2^{N_j+1}}\right) \to 2\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

as $||\frac{(H^{-(N_j+1)})^*\omega^2}{2^{N_j+1}}|| = 1$. On the other hand $(H^{-1})^*$ is continuous on currents in $\mathbb{C}^3$. Thus $(H^{-1})^*\sigma = 2\sigma$ on $\mathbb{C}^3$.

We first prove that supp $\sigma \subset \overline{K^-}$. By [GS, Theorem 2.2],

$$\overline{K^-} = K^- \cup I^-.$$

Let $X^- = \cap_{j=1}^{\infty}U_j$ where U_j's are decreasing open sets in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\dim(X^-) = 0$ and T_+ is a current of bidimension $(2, 2)$, $T_+ \wedge \omega^2(X^-) = 0$. Hence there is a U_J such that $T_+ \wedge \omega^2(\overline{U_J}) < \epsilon$. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \overline{K^-}$ be a ball. Since H^{-1} is weakly regular, X^- is attracting, with basin U^- in $\mathbb{C}^3$. Thus there exists $M > 0$ such that $H^{-n}(B) \subset U_J$ for all $n \geq M$. By [S, Theorem

1.6.1],

$$\frac{(H^n)^*(\omega)}{2^n} \to T_+ \text{ and } \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{(H^n)^*(\omega)}{2^n} \to T_+.$$

Also the subsequence

$$\begin{aligned} T_{N_j} : &= \frac{1}{N_j}\sum_{n=M}^{N_j}\frac{(H^n)^*(\omega)}{2^n} \\ &= \frac{1}{N_j}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_j}\frac{(H^n)^*(\omega)}{2^n} - \sum_{n=1}^{M-1}\frac{(H^n)^*(\omega)}{2^n}\right) \to T_+ \end{aligned}$$

as $N_j \to \infty$. Hence $T_{N_j} \wedge \omega^2 \to T_+ \wedge \omega^2$ as measures and

$$\limsup_{N_j\to\infty} T_{N_j} \wedge \omega^2(\overline{U_J}) \le T_+ \wedge \omega^2(\overline{U_J}) < \epsilon.$$

This implies that $T_{N_j} \wedge \omega^2(\overline{U_J}) \le \epsilon$ for all $N_j > N$ for some $N > 0$. Since R_{N_j} does not charge the hyperplane at infinity, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B R_{N_j} \wedge \omega &= \int_{B\cap\mathbb{C}^3} \frac{1}{N_j}\sum_{n=1}^{N_j}\frac{(H^{-n})^*\omega^2}{2^n} \wedge \omega \\ &= \frac{1}{N_j}\sum_{n=1}^{N_j}\int_{H^{-n}(B\cap\mathbb{C}^3)} \frac{(H^n)^*(\omega)}{2^n} \wedge \omega^2 \\ &\le \frac{1}{N_j}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{M-1}\int_{H^{-n}(B\cap\mathbb{C}^3)} \frac{(H^n)^*(\omega)}{2^n} \wedge \omega^2 + \sum_{n=M}^{N_j}\int_{U_J}\frac{(H^n)^*(\omega)}{2^n} \wedge \omega^2\right) \\ &\le \frac{M-1}{N_j} + \int_{\overline{U_J}} T_{N_j} \wedge \omega^2 \le 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

if N_j is big enough. This shows that $\sigma \wedge \omega(B) = 0$.

Now we will show that σ has no mass in the interior of $\overline{K^-}$. Let $U \subset\subset \operatorname{int} \overline{K^-}$. Since $\overline{K^-} = K^- \cup I^-_\infty$, U is actually contained in K^-. By [CF, Lemma 6.3], there is $C > 1$ such that $||H^{-n}(z)|| \le C^n$ for all $z \in U$ and $n > 0$. In $\mathbb{C}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{N_j} = \frac{1}{N_j}\sum_{n=1}^{N_j}\left(\frac{(H^{-n})^*\omega}{(\sqrt{2})^n}\right)^2 &\le \left(\frac{1}{N_j^{\frac{1}{2}}}\sum_{n=1}^{N_j}\frac{(H^{-n})^*\omega}{(\sqrt{2})^n}\right)^2 \\ &= (dd^cG_{N_j})^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $G_{N_j}(z) := \frac{1}{N_j^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_j} \frac{\log(1+||H^{-n}(z)||^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(\sqrt{2})^n}$.

On U,

$$0 \leq G_{N_j} \leq \frac{1}{N_j^{1/2}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_j} \frac{n \log C}{(\sqrt{2})^n}.$$

Thus G_{N_j} converges to 0 locally uniformly on int K^- and hence

$$R_{N_j} \leq (dd^c G_{N_j})^2 \to 0,$$

which implies that σ has no mass on int K^-. Thus supp $\sigma \subset \partial \overline{K^-}$.

□

5. A Two Dimensional Model

We will consider the case $a = 0$. Then H becomes a map of $\mathbb{C}^2$,

$$H(x, y) = (xy, x^2 + by).$$

We note that H is not an automorphism anymore. It determines a map $H : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ by $H([x : y : t]) = [xy : x^2 + byt : t^2]$. The indeterminacy point is $I = [0 : 1 : 0]$. The sets K^+ and U^+ are defined as in (2) without the z coordinate. Then all the estimates for the map in $\mathbb{C}^3$ in Sections 3 hold for the reduced map in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

In the following examples, when $b^4 = 1$, we have some lines which are contained in K^+ and invariant under the second iterate H^2 of H. If w lies on these lines then $H^n(w) \approx C(w)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$, so the maximal growth on K^+ given by the estimates from Section 3 does occur.

Example 5.1. The second iterate of H is

$$H^2(x, y) = H(xy, x^2 + by) = (xy(x^2 + by), x^2(y^2 + b) + b^2 y),$$

so $x_2 = xy(x^2 + by)$ and $y_2 = x^2(y^2 + b) + b^2 y$.

If $b^4 = 1$ and $y^2 = -b$ then $y_2^2 = b^4 y^2 = -b^5 = -b$. We have four choices for b.

Case 1. If $b = 1$ then $H^2(x, y) = (x^3 y + xy^2, x^2(y^2 + 1) + y)$. Hence $H^2(x, i) = (ix^3 - x, i)$ and $H^2(x, -i) = (-ix^3 - x, -i)$. So the lines $\{y = i\}$ and $\{y = -i\}$ are invariant under H^2.

Case 2. If $b = -1$ then $H^2(x, y) = (x^3 y - xy^2, x^2(y^2 - 1) + y)$. Hence $H^2(x, 1) = (x^3 - x, 1)$ and $H^2(x, -1) = (-x^3 - x, -1)$. So the lines $\{y = 1\}$ and $\{y = -1\}$ are invariant under H^2.

Case 3. If $b = i$ then $H^2(x, y) = (x^3 y + ixy^2, x^2(y^2 + i) - y)$. Hence $H^2(x, e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}) = (e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}} x^3 + x, -e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}})$ and $H^2(x, -e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}) = (-e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}} x^3 + x, e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}})$.

Case 4. If $b = -i$ then $H^2(x, y) = (x^3 y - ixy^2, x^2(y^2 - i) - y)$. Hence $H^2(x, e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}) = (e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} x^3 + x, -e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}})$ and $H^2(x, -e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}) = (-e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} x^3 + x, e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}})$.

In all of the cases above, since $b^4 = 1$ and $y^2 = -b$, we have

$$H^2(x, \sqrt{-b}) = (x^3 \sqrt{-b} - b^2 x, b^2 \sqrt{-b}).$$

Thus $|y_{2n}| = 1$, $|x_{2n}| \approx |x|^{3^n}$, $|x_{2n+1}| = |x_{2n}y_{2n}| \approx |x|^{3^n}$ and $|y_{2n+1}| = |x_{2n}^2 + by_{2n}| \approx |x|^{2\cdot 3^n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence $H^n(w) \approx C(w)^{(\sqrt{3})^n}$ if w is contained in these lines.

References

[C] D. Coman, *On the dynamics of a class of quadratic polynomial automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^3$, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 8(1):55-67, 2002.

[CF] D. Coman and J. E. Fornæss, *Green's functions for irregular quadratic polynomial automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^3$, Michigan Mathematical Journal, 46(1999), 419-459.

[D] J.P. Demailly, *Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry*, Online book, http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/∼demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf, version of Thursday June 21, 2012.

[FW] J. E. Fornæss and H. Wu, *Classification of degree 2 polynomial automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^3$, Publ. Mat. 42 (1998), 195-210.

[GS] V. Guedj and N. Sibony, *Dynamics of polynomial automorphisms of* $\mathbb{C}^k$, Ark. Mat., 40 (2002), 207-243

[S] N. Sibony, *Dynamique des applications rationnelles de* $\mathbb{P}^k$, Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997), Panorama et Synthéses 8, pp. ix-x, xi-xii, 97-185, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999.

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY AT QATAR
E-mail address: `ozcan.yazici@qatar.tamu.edu`